

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,330	08/22/2003	Nicholas M. Miller	MGM-10002/02	9681	
25006	25006 7590 09/06/2006		EXAMINER		
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C			BOUCHELLE, LAURA A		
	PO BOX 7021 TROY, MI 48007-7021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3763		
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			C				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/646,330	MILLER, NICHOLAS M.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Laura A. Bouchelle	3763				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	<u>ugust 2003</u> .	•				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	,					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
2) Noti	n t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/9/03</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/646,330 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "relatively stiff materials" in claims 2, 8 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "relatively stiff material" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimamura et al (US 4737153) in view of Onik (US 5693011).
- 5. Shimamura discloses a reinforced catheter comprising an elongate flexible body 4 having a tip 2 with a plurality of openings 8 formed in the wall of the catheter, and a rigid helical support element 6 embedded in the wall (Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/646,330 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

6. Independent claim 1 differs from Shimamura in calling for a cage member. Onik teaches a surgical suction catheter comprising an elongate flexible catheter having a cage member having a plurality of longitudinal stringers 44 and a plurality of annular rings 45 disposed about the intake apertures 36 to prevent the apertures from becoming clogged, thereby maintaining an open flow of material through the catheter (Col. 2, lines 45-50, 60-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the catheter of Shimamura to include a cage member as taught by Onik to prevent the apertures from becoming clogged, thereby maintaining an open flow of material through the catheter.

- 7. Claims 4, 9 differ in calling for the stringers and the rings to be in a common cylindrical plane. Onik teaches that the cage member is in a common cylindrical plane to allow the catheter to have a low profile so that it can more easily pass through body lumens (Col. 3, lines 30-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the catheter of Shimamura to have a cage in a common cylindrical plane as taught by Onik to allow the catheter to have a low profile so that it can more easily pass through body lumens.
- 8. Claim 6 differs from Shimamura in view of Onik in calling for an additional set of apertures and an additional cage over those apertures. This limitation is considered to be a duplication of parts. Mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced by the inclusion of duplicate parts. See MPEP 2144.04. In the instant case, applicant has not disclosed that the additional apertures would result in a new and unexpected result, and therefore, the addition of a second set of apertures does not render the claim patentable over the prior art.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cruse (US 3308825) discloses a catheter with apertures in the sidewall and a cage member surrounding the apertures to prevent material from clogging the apertures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A. Bouchelle whose telephone number is 571-272-2125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Laura A Bouchelle Examiner Art Unit 3763